

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/648,376	08/25/2000	David W. Cannell	05725.0633-00	5418	
22852	7590 02/10/2003				
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & EXAMINER			INER		
DUNNER L 1300 I STRE	EET, NW		WILLIS, MICHAEL A		
WASHING	ON, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1617		
			DATE MAILED: 02/10/2003	}	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2

~W	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/648,376	CANNELL ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Michael A. Willis	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspond nce add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR_1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of			-
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ I36(a) and the appropriate extending the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>24 January 2003</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI			forth in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		•
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			•
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-26 and 50-52</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 4 and 27-4	9.		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	-	proved by the Exam	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	^	·	
10.☐ Other:	SREENI PADMANABHAN PRIMARY EXAMINER	Michael A. Willis Patent Examiner	V)
	27 Mg		

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) _ 09/648,376

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendment would require further consideration to determine whether the changes
introduce new matter. Additionally, the exclusion of various compositions in the proposed amendment to claim 1 would require further
consideration in order to determine whether such limitiations overcome the prior art. The proposed amendment to claim 50 would requir
further consideration in order to determine whether the inclusion of cationic and amphoteric polymers overcomes the rejection of record.